

## Consultation Response Summary

	<b>Objection/Comments</b>	<b>Comments from Director of Highways &amp; Transport</b>
	<p>Resident of Bluecoat Road</p> <p>Have one allocated parking space, so their second vehicle needs to be left on Bluecoat Pond. The proposed one hour restricted parking scheme cannot work for them.</p> <p>Due to the nature of their work they are frequently abroad and not available to move a car.</p> <p>Understand no NEW resident permit schemes are currently being considered. A few residents of Bluecoat Pond are therefore wondering if the TRO could go ahead, but with the extension of an EXISTING permit scheme, to get around this rule.</p> <p>Believe the closest permit scheme is 'F' zone around Worthing Road. Ask if Christ's Hospital could be added to this zone at minimal expense instead of painting yellow lines some white boxes are created.</p> <p>Believe if permits were available to buy residents could support this modified TRO.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p> <p>The current decision not to introduce new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones includes extensions to existing CPZs.</p>
	<p>Resident of Barnes Wallis Avenue</p> <p>The proposal is ill thought out without the addition of a residents' parking scheme to provide for local homeowners. The Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue developments do not provide enough off street residents parking so many who are forced to park their vehicles on the road will be badly affected by this proposal unless supported by a residents' parking permit scheme.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>
	<p>Resident of Bluecoat Pond</p> <p>Whilst the residents of the Bluecoat Pond development require some form of parking control, the proposal would mean residents in certain areas wouldn't be able to park outside their</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

	<p>own properties. This would then mean the commuters will simply park in unrestricted areas on the estate instead.</p> <p>Believe that residents should therefore have a permit system implemented instead, free of charge. Two permits per household, that is the only thing that would stop our estate being used for free parking.</p>	<p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>
	<p>Resident of Barnes Wallis Avenue</p> <p>Doesn't entirely object to the proposal</p> <p>Agrees that the parking on Christs Hospital Road as is noted in our documents a safety issue, it restricts visibility, and the traffic flow as you note and causes people to have to use dangerous manoeuvres to pass - this is also where so many people (including many children) cross the road to the school.</p> <p>The idea however of a 1 hour restriction in Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue is much more controversial and is not an issue of safety. It is also not really driven by the above proposal to Christs Hospital Road. The extra cars coming into Bluecoat and BWA will come because the parking down Station Road will be stopped and the current free car park will be removed. There is no good solution to this, although they are supportive of not having the roads completely blocked by commuter parking almost every house only has one allocated parking space and it is commonplace that households have 2 cars. Therefore there will be residents unfairly penalised by this considering they bought their house on a public road with unrestricted parking.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p>
	<p>Resident of Barnes Wallis Avenue</p> <p>This is a poorly thought out proposal with little consideration to current residents and the effect it will have on them.</p> <p>People bought houses knowing that whilst they may have two cars, they could park one on the public highway.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

	<p>Christ's Hospital is remote and rural. There are not lots of places to park safely and many people will be unable to relocate their cars.</p> <p>At the very least, residents permits should be offered.</p>	<p>currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>
	<p>Resident of Worthing Road</p> <p>It is a weak argument that there is a traffic hazard due to reduced visibility. Vehicles travelling from Itchingfield have a clear and unobstructed view of the restriction and priority before entering it. Asks for figures of RTAs that have occurred.</p> <p>The Council has put double yellow lines all the way to the station, allowed thousands of new homes and then not given anywhere to park to use the station. The current car park is temporary as you have authorised building on it</p>	<p>It has been observed that the density of on-street parking in the Christ's Hospital Road area has on occasion led to vehicles resorting to obstructive parking.</p> <p>In the last three years there have been no road traffic collisions related to parking in the area. However this is not the only criteria by which TRO proposals are scored by.</p> <p>The development of new homes in the area has been subject to the usual planning constraints and is unrelated to this proposal.</p>
	<p>Objector</p> <p>Objects to this proposal as believe it creates greater risks than the current position.</p> <p>Does not object to the section between the width restrictions, indeed, nor to the section on Christ's Hospital Road between the Station Road and King Edward Road.</p> <p>However, the other sections create greater risk for both pedestrians and motorists. Motorist will simply park on the railway bridge and backwards towards Westons Farm along Christ's Hospital Road and walk to the station. There is no footpath and no street lighting and the National Speed Limit applies. Alternatively, at the other end, there is a footpath with no street lighting; however parked vehicles, where the national speed limit applies and the road is of limited width already, will cause traffic issues - especially, given the unlawful new entrance on Christ's Hospital Road for CH School. They can find no planning application for the new entrance or</p>	<p>In designing this scheme, it was deemed highly unlikely that motorists would choose to park on the railway bridge, and that if they did they would anyway be subject to local enforcement under the Highway Code, clause 243, which states that motorists should not park on or near the brow of a hill or hump bridge.</p> <p>It is accepted that some displacement will occur as a result of the proposals, and that where restrictions are being applied in an area subject to commuter parking, this is to some extent unavoidable. However it is also expected that the further away from the train station the unrestricted area is, the less of a safety issue the displaced parking will present.</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

<p>any consent by WSCC for an easement across the WSCC verge and lowering of the footpath to create an entrance.</p> <p>This is not a solution to parking issues arising from use of the station. The station parking is inadequate and too expensive. Network Rail should be compelled to fix the problem. This proposal only causes more risk and, other than the limited section they consider have some merit, is of no benefit to the community.</p>	<p>Other development schemes in the area are unrelated to the implementation of this proposal, which was selected at County Local Committee without reference to any other scheme.</p> <p>We are unable to compel Network Rail to provide further parking at the train station.</p>
<p>Resident of Bluecoat Pond</p> <p>They are a 2 car household as is the norm for rural areas. On this estate we all have ONE designated parking space. It is therefore ESSENTIAL that residents can be issued permits for their second vehicle. One of the existing permit schemes could be expanded to include Christ's Hospital, at little extra cost. If this scheme proceeds our estate will be the ONLY one in all of West Sussex where residents can't obtain permits to park on the street they live in. This will affect our family life and businesses.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>
<p>Resident of Bluecoat Pond</p> <p>As with many residents of Bluecoat Pond, they are self employed and uses a van for work. Some days they have work, some days they do not. If they don't have a parking permit asks what they should do? The Residents Association suggested they should 'go out for a drive for one hour a day' They'd be happy to pay for a permit. Residents pay council tax and we need to be able to park where we live. That seems reasonable. By starting this scheme without permits WSCC will create chaos. There are only 4 visitor bays on Bluecoat pond- with dozens of residents it will become a 'Hunger Games' scene with people fighting for those 4 spaces! Please help people like me- modify this proposal simply by adding one</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>

## Consultation Response Summary

	<p>hour permits for us.</p>	
	<p>Resident of Barnes Wallis Avenue</p> <p>The proposed plans do NOT allow for residents to obtain parking permits (for visitors, tradesmen or second vehicles). The proposal will mean that residents with a second vehicle will have to move their 2nd car for one hour a day. The question is to where? They are a 2 car family, without a permit we will be forced to move the second car for one hour every day. This is not feasible as there is no where to move it to that will not be restricted. This is going to have to be taken into consideration surely? We are not the only residents with 2 cars. Parking permits for residents will have to be considered if this proposal is to work or else a plan made where residents can park for one hour.</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>
	<p>Resident of Bluecoat Pond</p> <p>If we have to have lines on our roads what happens with 2 car families when we are parking on the road and we are either on holiday or have caught a train how are we going to move our cars for that hour. The residents should have a permit Will Someone be coming to check for that hour</p>	<p>In light of the objections we have received, the proposal for a single yellow line restriction on Bluecoat Pond and Barnes Wallis Avenue has been omitted from the proposed scheme.</p> <p>West Sussex County Council is currently not introducing new permit-based Controlled Parking Zones as our current approach to long-term management of parking is through Road Space Audits, which takes into account the needs of all road users, including residents and commuters.</p>